

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,221	BORRELLI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Dionne Walls Mayes.

(2) Walter Douglas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked if, in claim 1, the limitation "capable of precipitating silver or copper halide" could be deleted from the claim in order to avoid potential confusion in claim recitation. It was agreed to, and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment .